



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,647	12/15/2000	Henricus Antonius Wilhelmus Van Gestel	PHN 17,798	1569

24737 7590 07/01/2003

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2675

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/738,647

Applicant(s)

VAN GESTEL ET AL.

Examiner

Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Art Unit

2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 4, 2003, Amendment C.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is in response to Amendment C, filed June 4, 2003. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 have been amended. Claims 13-16 are newly added.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. **Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading.** If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) **TITLE OF THE INVENTION.**
- (b) **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.**
- (c) **STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.**
- (d) **INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC** (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.**
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) **BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.**
- (g) **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).**
- (h) **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.**
- (i) **CLAIM OR CLAIMS** (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) **ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE** (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) **SEQUENCE LISTING** (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Applicant has failed to provide any of the headings as follows within the specification.
Appropriate correction is required.

Title of the Invention

Background of Invention

Brief Summary of the Invention

Brief Description of the Drawings

Detailed Description of the Invention

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shojima et al (US 5,592,565).

As to independent claims 1 and 9, Shojima et al disclose an apparatus for reproducing handwritten input, comprising; an input device for inputting a plurality of handwritten characters (col. 3, lines 22-48), a recognition unit for recognizing a plurality of handwritten characters (col. 3, lines 22-48, col. 2, lines 5-10), a selection unit for selecting a display font from among a plurality of fonts (col. 3, lines 36-48), and a display unit (col. 3, lines 45-47) for displaying one or more display characters corresponding to respective ones of the recognized handwritten characters, using the display font (col. 3, lines 45-47), wherein the selection unit selects the display font based on a comparison of one or more of the plurality of handwritten characters with

Art Unit: 2675

one or more corresponding characters in each of the plurality of fonts (col. 3, lines 22-48), characterized in that the selection unit is arranged to select the font on the basis of the one or more handwritten characters (col. 3, lines 37-48).

Shojima et al do not disclose inputting a plurality of handwritten characters where the recognition unit recognizes a plurality of handwritten characters. Shojima et al disclose where one character is input at a time and is recognized before going to the next character. It would have been obvious that a plurality of handwritten characters can be input into Shojima et al and would be recognized. The selection unit of Shojima et al selects the font based on the comparison of one or more of the plurality of handwritten characters (col. 3, lines 22-48).

As to independent claims 6 and 8, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, Shojima et al disclose a system for transmission of handwritten input comprising, a transmitter that includes; an input device for inputting a plurality handwritten characters (col. 3, line 53-col. 4, line 24), a recognition unit for recognizing the plurality handwritten characters and representing them as one or more respective character codes (col. 3, lines 22-48, col. 2, lines 5-10), a selection unit for selecting a select font from a plurality of predefined fonts, based on a comparison of one or more characters of the handwritten characters with one or more corresponding characters in each of the plurality of fonts (col. 3, lines 22-48), and transmission means for transmitting the one or more characters codes and a font identification of the selected font to a transmission medium (col. 3, lines 22-48), and a receiver (col. 3, lines 22-48) that includes, receiving means for receiving the one or more character codes and the font identification from the transmission medium (col. 2, lines 22-48) and a display unit (col. 3, lines 45-47) for on a display device in the selected font displaying one or more display characters

Art Unit: 2675

corresponding to respective ones of the character codes, characterized in that the selection unit is arranged to select the font on the basis of the one or more handwritten characters (col. 5, line 30- col. 6, line 9, 37-56) using a display font corresponding to the font identification of the select font (col. 3, lines 22-48).

Shojima et al do not disclose inputting a plurality of handwritten characters where the recognition unit recognizes a plurality of handwritten characters. Shojima et al disclose where one character is input at a time and is recognized before going to the next character. It would have been obvious that a plurality of handwritten characters can be input into Shojima et al and would be recognized. The selection unit of Shojima et al selects the font based on the comparison of one or more of the plurality of handwritten characters (col. 3, lines 22-48).

As to dependent claim 10, limitations of claim 9, further comprising, wherein the font is selected when a predetermined number of characters have been recognized (col. 4, lines 25-35).

As to dependent claim 11, limitations of claim 9, further comprising, a computer program (col. 3, lines 22-35)

As to dependent claim 12, limitations of claim 11, further comprising, a tangible medium carrying the computer program (col. 3, lines 22-35).

3. Claims 3-5 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shojima et al as applied to claims 1, 6, 8 and 9 above, and further in view of Cok (US 6,298,154).

As to dependent claims 3, 13-16, limitations of claims 1, 6, 8 and 9, further comprising, a creation unit for creating a new font on the basis of the plurality of hand written characters, and the creation unit is arranged to create the font on the basis of averaging character characteristics

Art Unit: 2675

over a number of handwritten characters. Shojima et al do not disclose a creation unit. Cok discloses a creation unit in Figs. 4-6, col. 4, lines 53-col. 5, lines 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the creation unit of Cok into that of Shojima et al as Shojima et al disclose a personal font directory which can be used to store personal fonts. This personal directory of Shojima et al with the creation unit is advantageous as it allows the user to create and utilize a unique font based on certain handwritten characteristics.

As to dependent claim 4, limitations of claim 1, further comprising, comprising a segmentation unit for segmenting the inputted handwritten characters into one or more words ((col. 2, lines 11-30, 56-61), and a spell check unit for verifying the presence of the one or more words in an electronic dictionary. Shojima et al and Cok do not disclose a spell check unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a spell check unit. It is known in the art that most computer systems with an operating system and word processing have spell check features as it is advantageous for users writing important reports/documents etc.

As to dependent claim 5, see limitations of claims 1, 6, 8 and 9, above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The rejection using prior art Cok from independent claims 1, 6, 8 and 9 has been withdrawn and replaced with a rejection using prior art Shojima et al. Shojima et al disclose a handwritten character recognition apparatus with a personal dictionary preparation function that has a character recognition unit for comparing an input handwritten character with a standard font directory to recognize similar character pattern and a display unit for selecting and

Art Unit: 2675

displaying a display font corresponding to the recognized character. This clearly shows the limitations set forth by the Applicant's application.

Applicant argues in the response where the font is one of a plurality of standard fonts available such as Casual, Hyena, and Comic sans MS. Applicant discloses in the claim limitations "display font" which is broadly interpreted to mean any font that can be displayed on a display. The prior art Shojima discloses standard fonts which can be interpreted to mean any one of Casual, Hyena, and Comic sans MS as these are standard fonts as disclosed by the applicant.

Shojima et al fail to disclose a creation unit. Cok discloses a creation unit in Figs. 4-6, col. 4, lines 53-col. 5, lines 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the creation unit of Cok into that of Shojima et al as Shojima et al disclose a personal font directory which can be used to store personal fonts. This personal directory of Shojima et al with the creation unit is advantageous as it allows the user to create and utilize a unique font based on certain handwritten characteristics.

The combination of Shojima et al and Cok disclose each limitation as is set forth by the applicant's application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Srilakshmi K. Kumar** whose telephone number is (703) 306 5575.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Art Unit: 2675

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)


Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 703 306 5575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on 703 305 9720. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9314 for regular communications and 703 308 9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 4700.


STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Srilakshmi K. Kumar
Examiner
Art Unit 2675

Application/Control Number: 09/738,647

Page 9

Art Unit: 2675

SKK

June 27, 2003